

Attractions between charged colloidal spheres mediated by correlated absorbed ions

Francisco J. Solis¹ and Monica Olvera de la Cruz²

¹ Physics Department, Hiram College, Hiram OH, 44238

² Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston IL 60208

e-mail: ¹ solisfj@hiram.edu, ² m-olvera@northwestern.edu

We describe arrangements of ions capable of producing short-range attractive interactions between pairs of charged colloidal spheres in the low temperature strongly correlated limit. For particles of radius R with bare charge Z and comparable absorbed charge $-N$ ($N \sim Z$), the correlations contribution to the spheres self-energy scales as $N^{3/2}/R$, and as N/R for the interaction energy between two touching spheres. We show that the re-arrangement of charges due to polarization plays an insignificant role in the nature and magnitude of the interaction.

Electrostatic attractions between colloids have been reported in a large number of circumstances [1]. Experiments in colloidal suspension confined into a small (almost two dimensional) region [2–4] have shown direct evidence of these attractions. Simulations of colloidal suspensions in bulk [5,6] and confined systems [7] have also reported electrostatic attractions. The simulations have explored, in particular, the strongly correlated limit in which the thermal energy of the system is smaller than the typical interaction energy between the charges absorbed to the colloids.

Recent studies on colloidal suspensions have elucidated the effect of depletion forces [7] and of Van der Waals interactions [8], as well as the importance of including correlations [5,6,9–11] to predict attractions. In this letter we derive analytic expressions for the interaction energy between two colloids in the strongly correlated limit by considering the distribution of absorbed counterions around them as they approach. Though correlations between absorbed counterions are known to induced short range attractions between charged surfaces [12,13], and between aligned rods [14–16], it has been noted [8] that the geometry change to colloids is not trivial.

Systems involving large charged objects (polyions) whose charge is compensated by mobile low-valence ions can be study by considering first the electrostatic ground state of a subsystem that consists of one or few polyions with a fixed number of counterions. Once the ground state is constructed, is possible to draw conclusions about the system by introducing thermal fluctuations and setting the subsystem in contact with the rest of the system which then acts as a thermal and particle reservoir. This approach is justified by the fact that there is a small region surrounding the polyion in which the electrostatic interactions are so dominant that thermal fluctuations are only a minor correction. It has been used, for example, to study the interactions between charged surfaces [13] and between charged linear polyelectrolytes [14,15], the conditions of solubility of DNA and other linear polyelectrolytes in multivalent salt solutions [17,18], and to

describe the differences between charging colloids or surfaces with monovalent or multivalent counterions [19]. Results from these theories were used in Ref. [5] to obtain simple but accurate predictions that explain many colloidal simulations results.

While in principle it might be possible to solve the ground state of a system consisting of one charged sphere and a set of N absorbed point-charges, such a ground state has to be solved almost independently for each different N . It would be even more difficult to obtain exact results for the case of two spheres, although numerical results can be obtained for N not too large. Instead of attempting such solutions, we propose an approximate solution that satisfies a number of desirable physical conditions. We first decompose the charge distribution on the sphere into a multipolar series for each sphere. We then truncate the series and propose values for the dominant terms. Using this ansatz, we obtain an approximation for the interaction energy between two spheres.

Our main results are simple to understand. We recover the expected negative self-energy for a single charged sphere due to the discrete nature of the absorbed counterions, which is of the form $-N^{3/2}/R$ [5]. Indeed, since $R \sim N^{1/2}a$, where a is the typical distance between the absorbed charges, this self-energy is roughly proportional to $-N/a$, as in the case of a flat surface. If the spheres have bare charge Z , and N absorbed (opposite) charges, the effective charge is $Q = Z - N$, and thus there is an interaction energy between two spheres at a distance r of the form Q^2/r , but there are important contributions arising from the particle nature of the absorbed charge. When the spheres are in contact there is a region in which the charges from both spheres are strongly correlated. In this region the bare and absorbed charge density are doubled and the typical distance between charges is now of the order of $a/\sqrt{2}$. The charges in both spheres remain correlated up to when the separation between corresponding points in the spheres are separated by a distance of the order a . This defines an effective area of contact between the spheres given by πRa , that contains

$Na/4R$ charges. The interaction energy is then *negative* and of order N/R . Thus, there can be a very large attractive component to the interaction between the spheres capable of producing a net attraction between them for almost neutral spheres ($N \sim Z$).

We assume that the total bare charge of the colloidal sphere Z is uniformly distributed on its surface or, equivalently, concentrated at the center of the sphere. The surface charge density of the N absorbed particles is then written as $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$ where $\mathbf{r}_i$ are vectors pointing from the center of sphere to a position at the surface. The multipolar moments M_n^m for this distribution are

$$M_n^m = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2n+1}} \int d\mathbf{r} Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) r^n \rho(\mathbf{r}) \quad (1)$$

where Y_n^m are spherical harmonics. The charge density can be then re-expressed as the multipolar expansion:

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n,m} \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{4\pi}} M_n^m \frac{\delta(r-R)}{R^{n+2}} Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}). \quad (2)$$

Using Maxwell's representation [20] of the spherical harmonics it is also possible to replace the surface density by a singular distribution placed at the center of the sphere given by

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n,m} \frac{(-1)^{n-|m|} M_n^{\pm m} \partial_z^{n-|m|} (\partial_x \mp i\partial_y)^{|m|} \delta(\mathbf{r})}{((n-m)!(n+m)!)^{1/2}}. \quad (3)$$

We will use the more compact notation $\rho = \sum M_n^m \{n, m\}$ where the brace $\{n, m\}$ stands in for the suitable functional form in this expression. The potential generated by this charge density outside of the sphere, at $r > R$, is

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n,m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2n+1}} M_n^m Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \frac{1}{r^{n+1}}. \quad (4)$$

In the low temperature limit we expect the charges to occupy positions that up to permutations and rotations of the whole sphere are uniquely determined. For large N , the inhomogeneities arising from the particle nature of the charges in this static ground-state distribution should create only negligible contributions for the first few multipolar moments other than $n = 0$, with the next non-vanishing moments arising at n values that start to resolve distances of order a , namely $n \sim N^{1/2}$. To make analytical calculations feasible we truncate the multipolar expansion and represent the sum of the N delta functions as a smooth function with N clearly distinguished maxima. Since the interaction between the absorbed charges is repulsive one can expect four nearest neighbors per particle in the ground state and we also require this from our truncated expansion. Our ansatz is then a truncation of the series Eq.(2) that only consider moments with n values up to $n = 3l/2$ with $l = \sqrt{2N}$.

Further, within this range we take only four moments to be non zero:

$$\rho = -N\{0, 0\} + \frac{(2N)^{3/4} R^l}{\pi^{-1/2}} \{l, 0\} + \frac{2^{7/8} N^{5/8} R^l}{\pi^{3/4}} (\{l, l\} + \{l, -l\}). \quad (5)$$

The precise values of the moments given here are discussed below.

The proposed charge density Eq.(5) has N maxima, distributed between $l = \sqrt{N/2}$ meridians generated by the $m = l$ terms. Each meridian has $l/2$, non uniformly distributed maxima generated by the $m = 0$ term. A consistent extension of our ansatz would give the next non-zero moments at n and $\pm m$ values that are integer multiples of l . In the limit of infinite radius and near the equator of the sphere the distribution becomes equivalent with the description, by a few Fourier modes, of a square lattice of charges on a plane. This limit is indeed the inspiration for our choice. The major inaccuracies of this distribution are near the poles where the maxima are closer than they should be.

For large N , and near the equator, the spherical harmonic Y_l^0 has an oscillatory form $\pi^{-1} \cos(l\theta + \psi)$, with θ the polar coordinate and ψ a phase. If we make the position of the charges to coincide with the peaks of this approximate distribution, we obtain, using Eq.(1), $M_l^0 = \pi^{-1/2} (2N)^{3/4} R^l$. Again, near the equator, we have that $Y_l^{\pm l} = e^{\pm il\phi} f(\theta)$, where ϕ is the azimuthal angle, and f is a multiple of the Legendre function P_l^l that has a maximum in the equator and is important only in a narrow region. We assume that the particles positions coincide with the maxima of the real parts of the waves in the ϕ coordinate while the sum over their contributions along the θ is approximated by a multiple of the integral $\int f(\theta) d\theta$ from which $M_l^l = 2^{7/8} (N)^{5/8} R^l / (\pi^{3/4})$.

To discuss the interaction between spheres we must consider the redistribution of charges that occurs when they are put in close contact with each other. To first order we can represent this redistribution as a flow generated by the projection, onto the sphere, of a uniform displacement of charges in the direction of the polarization. If the displacement of the charges is $\mathbf{d}$, the new charge distribution is approximately $\rho(\mathbf{r}) + \Delta\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{d} \cdot \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})$. Using the representation Eq. (3) and assuming the displacement to be in the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction, it can be shown that the displacement applied to our ansatz Eq.(5) gives rise to new non-zero extra moments:

$$\Delta\rho = \frac{P}{\sqrt{2}} \{1, 1\} + \frac{PlM_l^0}{2N} \{l+1, 1\} + \frac{PlM_l^l}{N} \{l+1, l+1\} + \frac{PM_l^l}{\sqrt{2}N} \{l+1, l-1\} + (m \rightarrow -m). \quad (6)$$

This equation displays only the positive m terms. The magnitude of the generated dipole moment is $P = dN$,

but as this expression shows, the flow of charges also affects the higher order multipoles. The linear approximation is valid only if the average displacements of the charges are smaller than the typical distance between them $d < a$

The energy of interaction between the absorbed charges and the colloid is $-ZN/R$. If the decomposition of the ground state configuration of the absorbed charges into multipolar moments M_n^m is known, their self energy is $E = \sum_{n,m} M_n^m M_n^{-m} / 2R^{2n+1}$. This expression is infinite since it contains the self-energy of every absorbed charge. The harmonic decomposition of a single point charge shows that its self-energy splits into equal contributions of magnitude $1/2R$ for each n number giving, symbolically, $E_{single} = (1/2R) \sum_n 1$. Subtracting N times this amount from the energy at each n level we obtain:

$$E = -\frac{ZN}{R} + \sum_n \left(-\frac{N}{2R} + \sum_m \frac{M_n^m M_n^{-m}}{2R^{2n+1}} \right). \quad (7)$$

Evaluating the energy of our ansatz for the charge distribution and including the possibility of a charge redistribution with dipole moment P the main terms in the evaluation of the energy are

$$E = \frac{Z^2 - 2ZN + N(N-1)}{2R} - \alpha \frac{N^{3/2}}{R} + \beta \frac{P^2 N^{1/2}}{2R^3}, \quad (8)$$

where $\alpha = (3/2^{3/2}) - 1/(\pi 2^{1/2})$, and $\beta = 1/(2^{1/2}\pi)$. In the second and third terms we have retained only the contributions with highest powers in N . The monopole contributions have been explicitly separated. In the absence of polarization, this result is clearly consistent with the expected behavior of the self-energy in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit that is equivalent to charges in a flat surface in which the self-energy scales as $N(1/a) \sim N(N/R^2)^{1/2}$.

To consider two spheres we orient the reference systems for each of them so that their centers lie in the x-axis of both systems. The total distance between centers is r . The interaction energy can be written as the integral of the potential generated by one sphere ϕ times the charge density of the second sphere ρ' , $E = \int d\mathbf{r} \phi \rho'$. Writing down both ϕ and ρ' in their multipolar expansions and using the Maxwell representation, the integral becomes a sum of terms that are products of derivatives of $1/r$ times derivatives of a delta function at the center of the second sphere. Repeated integration by parts leads to

$$E = \sum_{n,m,p,q} E_{n,m,p,q} \frac{M_n^m M_p^{q'}}{r^{n+p+1}} \quad (9)$$

where the coefficient is, using $s = n + m, t = n - m, s' = p + q, t' = p - q, S = s + s', T = t + t'$,

$$E_{n,m,p,q} = \left[\frac{S!T!}{s!s'!t!t'} \right]^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2S+1}} Y_{(S+T)/2}^{(S-T)/2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \right). \quad (10)$$

The point of evaluation of the spherical harmonic reflects the direction of the relative position of the spheres in the chosen coordinates, namely the $\hat{x}$ axis. It can be shown that for large moment numbers the magnitude of the coefficient has its maximum values when $n = p$ and $m = \pm q$, and decays away from this situation as $\exp(-(s - (S/2))^2 - (t - (T/2))^2)$. Therefore, the main contributions to the energy from high order multipoles appears when the multipoles in both spheres correspond, that is, when the charge distributions are similar and interlock. In our truncation we are able to pick the relative phases of the multipoles in the spheres to get an attractive energy.

We will consider only the case of two spheres of equal radius. Since we are interested in exploring the possibility of attractive interactions between spheres we consider only the case in which they touch $r = 2R$. If attractions are present this will be their optimal position. Considering the case of spheres that have equal total charge and magnitude of polarization we obtain the following interaction energy:

$$E_{int} = \frac{(Z - N)^2}{2R} - \gamma \frac{N}{2R} - \frac{(P - P')(Z - N)}{(2R)^2} + \frac{PP'}{(2R)^3} (-1 + 2\gamma) \quad (11)$$

where $\gamma = \pi^{-2} + 2^{3/4}\pi^{-5/2}$. The total energy is obtained from adding the self-energy and the interaction energy. Minimizing the total energy with respect to the polarization we arrive to the conclusion that the polarization of the spheres should be antiparallel $\mathbf{P} = -\mathbf{P}'$, and with magnitude $P = RQ/\beta N^{1/2}$. The polarization is negligible in the limit of large N . The reason for this is that a flow of mobile charges towards the point of contact requires a large increase of energy in a large depleted area, while the decrease in energy occurs only in the small region of contact. Thus, we find that the ground state is very rigid, and the interaction between spheres does not alter much its local structure. The polarization corrections can then be ignored and the interaction energy is simply the result given in Eq.(11) without polarization terms. If the effective charge is small, the interaction is dominated by the high multipole correlations and it scales as N/R . The rigidity of the local structure makes this result robust when applied to considerations of more than two spheres.

Given our result for the evaluation of the interaction energy, we are ready to comment on the problem of attraction in more realistic conditions. The Bjerrum number $B = e^2/a4\pi\epsilon_0 k_B T$ compares the electrostatic interaction between charges at a distance a with the typical thermal energy $k_B T$. We can consider z -valent counterions and then, if we have $z^2 B > 1$, the correlation energy can be very strong. The exact number of charges in the

spheres is controlled by the equilibrium of the energy between absorbed charges and a chemical potential μ for the extraction of them from the volume not occupied by the colloidal spheres. To solve for the number of absorbed charges we can minimize the free energy $F = E(N) - \mu N$ with respect to N . Short range attractions between two charged colloids proportional to N/R result from the "interlocking" correlations of the thin layer of counterions at the surface of the colloids in the area of contact. These correlations easily arise, for high Bz^2 , when the number of absorbed counterions is large and comparable to the bare charge. The relative location of spheres influences the amounts of absorbed charges but their specific values are mostly dependent on the interaction parameter Bz^2 and on the chemical potential μ . This suggests that it is more likely to observe attractions in solutions of high valence counterions or in systems where the accessible volume for the counterions is small as in the case of colloids in confined geometries. Though in principle the confinement of colloidal suspensions by weakly charged surfaces imposes non-trivial boundary conditions that affect the local electrostatic fields, we can always analyze the local configurations of ions under these externally imposed conditions. If the external conditions are successful in creating attractions, the local arrangement of charges are as we have described here.

The explanation for the presence of long-range attractions put forward in Ref. [5] is that there are attractive metastable states characterized by pairs of spheres with opposite total charges. The overcharging of some spheres is possible due to the negative correlation self-energy in each sphere Eq.(8). What our calculation shows is that even if the effective charges are equal, the ground state is attractive. The metastable states, on the other hand, reduce the potential barrier to achieve close contacts, and at the free energy level might indeed provide a long range attractive interaction. At short distances we expect the charge to redistribute to the type of configurations discussed here.

We thank Cherry Murray for useful conversations. This work was sponsored by the National Science Foun-

dation, grants DMR9807601 and DMR9632472.

-
- [1] A. E. Larsen and D. G. Grier, *Nature (London)* **385**, 230 (1997).
 - [2] G. M. Kepler and S. Fraden, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 356 (1994).
 - [3] J. C. Crocker and D. G. Grier, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1897 (1996).
 - [4] D. G. Grier, *Nature (London)* **393**, 621 (1998).
 - [5] R. Messina, C. Holm, and K. Kremer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 872 (2000). (2000).
 - [6] P. Linse and V. Lobaskin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 4208 (1999).
 - [7] E. Allahyarov, I. D'Amico, and H. Löwen, *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, 3199 (1999).
 - [8] R. van Roij and J.-P. Hansen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 3082 (1997).
 - [9] N. Gronbech-Jensen, K. M. Beardmore and P. Pincus, *Physica A* **261** 74 (1998).
 - [10] Y. Levin, *Physica A* **265** 432 (1999).
 - [11] P. González-Mozuelos and M.D. Carbajal-Tinoco, *J. Chem. Phys.* **109**, 11074 (1998).
 - [12] R. Kjellander and S. Marcelja, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **112** 49 (1984).
 - [13] I. Rouzina and V. Bloomfield, *J. Phys. Chem.* **100**, 9977 (1996).
 - [14] F. J. Solis and M. Olvera de la Cruz, *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, 4496 (1999).
 - [15] B. I. Shklovskii, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3268 (1999).
 - [16] J. J. Arenzon, J. F. Stilck, and Y. Levin *European Physical Journal B* **12**, 79 (1999).
 - [17] F. J. Solis and M. Olvera de la Cruz, *J. Chem. Phys.* **112**, 2030 (2000).
 - [18] T. T. Nguyen, I. Rouzina, B. I. Shklovskii: *J. Chem. Phys.* **112**, 2562 (2000).
 - [19] B.I. Shklovskii, *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, 5802 (1999).
 - [20] See for example: Bateman Manuscript Project *Higher transcendental functions vol.III*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953) Ch. 11.